arXiv:1506.07596v1 [math.PR] 25 Jun 2015

A comparison theorem for the law of large numbers in Banach spaces

Deli Li[*] · **Han-Ying Liang**[†] [‡]

Abstract Let $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real separable Banach space. Let $\{X, X_n; n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables and set $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i,\ n \geq 1$. Let $\{a_n; n \geq 1\}$ and $\{b_n; n \geq 1\}$ be increasing sequences of positive real numbers such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \infty$ and $\{b_n/a_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence. In this paper, we provide a comparison theorem for the law of large numbers for i.i.d. $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables. That is, we show that $\dfrac{S_n - n\mathbb{E}\left(XI\{\|X\| \leq b_n\}\right)}{b_n} \to 0$ almost surely (resp. in probability) for every $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variable X with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\|X\| > b_n) < \infty$ (resp. $\lim_{n\to\infty} n\mathbb{P}(\|X\| > b_n) = 0$) if $S_n/a_n \to 0$ almost surely (resp. in probability) for every symmetric $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variable X with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\|X\| > a_n) < \infty$ (resp. $\lim_{n\to\infty} n\mathbb{P}(\|X\| > a_n) = 0$). To establish this comparison theorem for the law of large numbers, we invoke two tools: 1) a comparison theorem for sums of independent $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables and, 2) a symmetrization procedure for the law of large numbers for sums of independent $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables. A few consequences of our main results are provided.

Keywords Comparison theorem · Law of large numbers · Rademacher type p Banach space · Stable type p Banach space · Sums of i.i.d. random variables · Symmetrization procedure

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 60F15 · 60F05 · 60B12 · 60G50

Running Head: Law of large numbers

1 Introduction and the main results

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space and let $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real separable Banach space equipped with its Borel σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ (= the σ-algebra generated by the class of open subsets of $\mathbf{B}$ determined by $\|\cdot\|$). A $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variable X is defined as a $\mathcal{B}$-measurable function from $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ into $\mathbf{B}$. Let $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables and put $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i,\ n \geq 1$. The strong law of large numbers (SLLN) and the weak law of large numbers (WLLN) for i.i.d. $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables have been studied by many authors. The classical Kolmogorov SLLN in real separable Banach spaces was established by Mourier (1953). The extension of the Kolmogorov-Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund SLLN to $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables is independently due to Azlarov and Volodin (1981) and de Acosta (1981).

Theorem A. (Azlarov and Volodin (1981) and de Acosta (1981)). *Let $0 < p < 2$ and let $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{S_n}{n^{1/p}} = 0 \ \ \textit{almost surely (a.s.) if and only if} \ \ \mathbb{E}\|X\|^p < \infty \ \ \textit{and} \ \ \frac{S_n}{n^{1/p}} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0.$$

Here and below "$\to_{\mathbb{P}}$" stands for convergence in probability.

---

[*] Deli Li, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Lakehead University, Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada P7B 5E1
e-mail: dli@lakeheadu.ca

[†] Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, 1239 Siping Road, Shanghai 200092, China
hyliang83@yahoo.com

[‡] Corresponding author: Han-Ying Liang (Telephone: 86-21-65983242, FAX: 86-21-65983242)

Let $\{R, R_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ be a *Rademacher sequence*; that is, $\{R_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with $\mathbb{P}(R = 1) = \mathbb{P}(R = -1) = 1/2$. Let $\mathbf{B}^\infty = \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B} \times \cdots$ and define

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{B}) = \left\{ (v_1, v_2, ...) \in \mathbf{B}^\infty : \ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n v_n \ \text{ converges in probability} \right\}.$$

Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Then $\mathbf{B}$ is said to be of *Rademacher type* p if there exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n v_n \right\|^p \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|v_n\|^p \ \text{ for all } (v_1, v_2, ...) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{B}).$$

The following remarkable theorem, which is due tode Acosta (1981), provides a characterization of Rademacher type p Banach spaces.

Theorem B. (de Acosta (1981)). *Let $1 \leq p < 2$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

(**i**) *The Banach space* $\mathbf{B}$ *is of Rademacher type* p.

(**ii**) *For every sequence* $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *of i.i.d.* $\mathbf{B}$*-valued variables,*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n}{n^{1/p}} = 0 \ \ a.s. \ \text{if and only if} \ \ \mathbb{E}\|X\|^p < \infty \ \ \text{and} \ \ \mathbb{E}X = 0.$$

Let $0 < p \leq 2$. Then $\mathbf{B}$ is said to be of *stable type* p if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Theta_n v_n \ \text{ converges a.s. whenever } \ \{v_n :\ n \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathbf{B} \ \text{ with } \ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|v_n\|^p < \infty,$$

where $\{\Theta_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. stable random variables each with characteristic function $\psi(t) = \exp\{-|t|^p\}$, $-\infty < t < \infty$. Equivalent characterizations of a Banach space being of stable type p, properties of stable type p Banach spaces, as well as various relationships between the conditions "Rademacher type p" and "stable type p" may be found in Maurey and Pisier (1976), Woyczyński (1978), Marcus and Woyczyński (1979), Rosiński (1980), Pisier (1986), and Ledoux and Talagrand (1991). It is well known that every real separable Banach space $\mathbf{B}$ is of stable type p for all $p \in (0, 1)$ and that if $\mathbf{B}$ is of stable type p for some $p \in [1, 2]$, then $\mathbf{B}$ is of Rademacher type p.

A remarkable characterization of stable type p Banach spaces was provided by Marcus and Woyczyński (1979). Specifically, Marcus and Woyczyński (1979) proved the following theorem.

Theorem C. (Marcus and Woyczyński (1979)). *Let $1 \leq p < 2$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

(**i**) *The Banach space* $\mathbf{B}$ *is of stable type* p.

(**ii**) *For every symmetric sequence* $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *of i.i.d.* $\mathbf{B}$*-valued variables,*

$$\frac{S_n}{n^{1/p}} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0 \ \ \text{if and only if} \ \ \lim_{n \to \infty} n\mathbb{P}\left(\|X\| > n^{1/p}\right) = 0.$$

It is well known that if $\mathbf{B}$ is of stable type p for some $p \in [1, 2]$, then $\mathbf{B}$ is of stable type q for all $q \in (0, p)$. In other words, by Theorem C, we have the following conclusion:

Conclusion A. *Let $1 \le p < 2$ and $0 < q \le p$. If for every symmetric sequence $\{X, X_n;\ n \ge 1\}$ of i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables*

$$\frac{S_n}{n^{1/p}} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0 \quad \textit{if and only if} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} n\mathbb{P}\left(\|X\| > n^{1/p}\right) = 0,$$

*then for every symmetric sequence $\{X, X_n;\ n \ge 1\}$ of i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables*

$$\frac{S_n}{n^{1/q}} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0 \quad \textit{if and only if} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} n\mathbb{P}\left(\|X\| > n^{1/q}\right) = 0.$$

Motivated by Conclusion A and Theorems B and C, in this paper we will establish what we call a comparison theorem for the law of large numbers for i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables. The main results of this paper are the following Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Theorem 1.1. (Comparison theorem for WLLN). *Let $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real separable Banach space. Let $\{a_n; n \ge 1\}$ and $\{b_n; n \ge 1\}$ be increasing sequences of positive real numbers such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \infty \ \textit{and} \ \{b_n/a_n;\ n \ge 1\} \ \textit{is a nondecreasing sequence.} \tag{1.1}$$

*Suppose that, for every symmetric sequence $\{X, X_n;\ n \ge 1\}$ of i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables,*

$$\frac{S_n}{a_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0 \quad \textit{if and only if} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} n\mathbb{P}(\|X\| > a_n) = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

*Then, for every sequence $\{X, X_n;\ n \ge 1\}$ of i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables, we have that*

$$\frac{S_n - \gamma_n}{b_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0 \quad \textit{or} \quad \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\|S_n - \gamma_n\|}{b_n} > \lambda\right) > 0 \ \ \forall\ \lambda > 0 \tag{1.3}$$

according as

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\mathbb{P}(\|X\| > b_n) = 0 \quad \textit{or} \quad \limsup_{n\to\infty} n\mathbb{P}(\|X\| > b_n) > 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Here and below $\gamma_n = n\mathbb{E}\left(XI\{\|X\| \le b_n\}\right)$, $n \ge 1$.

Theorem 1.2. (Comparison theorem for SLLN). *Let $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real separable Banach space. Let $\{a_n; n \ge 1\}$ and $\{b_n; n \ge 1\}$ be increasing sequences of positive real numbers with (1.1). Suppose that, for every symmetric sequence $\{X, X_n;\ n \ge 1\}$ of i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{S_n}{a_n} = 0 \ \ \textit{a.s. if and only if} \ \ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\|X\| > a_n) < \infty. \tag{1.5}$$

*Then, for every sequence $\{X, X_n;\ n \ge 1\}$ of i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables, we have that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{S_n - \gamma_n}{b_n} = 0 \ \ \textit{a.s. or} \ \ \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\|S_n - \gamma_n\|}{b_n} = \infty \ \ \textit{a.s.} \tag{1.6}$$

according as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\|X\| > b_n) < \infty \quad \textit{or} \quad = \infty. \tag{1.7}$$

Remark 1.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we conclude from Theorem 1.1 that, for every sequence* $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *of i.i.d.* $\mathbf{B}$*-valued random variables,*

$$\frac{S_n - \gamma_n}{b_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0 \ \ \textit{if and only if} \ \ \lim_{n\to\infty} n\mathbb{P}(\|X\| > b_n) = 0,$$

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\|S_n - \gamma_n\|}{b_n} > \lambda\right) > 0 \ \ \forall\ \lambda > 0 \ \ \textit{if and only if} \ \ \limsup_{n\to\infty} n\mathbb{P}(\|X\| > b_n) > 0.$$

Hence that

$$\frac{S_n - \gamma_n}{b_n} \nrightarrow_{\mathbb{P}} x \ \ \forall\ x \in \mathbf{B}\backslash\{0\}.$$

Remark 1.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, it follows from the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 that, for every sequence* $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *of i.i.d.* $\mathbf{B}$*-valued random variables,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{S_n - \gamma_n}{b_n} = 0 \ \ \textit{a.s. if and only if} \ \ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\|X\| > b_n) < \infty,$$

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{S_n - \gamma_n}{b_n} = \infty \ \ \textit{a.s. if and only if} \ \ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\|X\| > b_n) = \infty.$$

Hence that there does not exist a sequence $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *of i.i.d.* $\mathbf{B}$*-valued random variables such that*

$$0 < \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\|S_n - \gamma_n\|}{b_n} < \infty \ \ \textit{a.s.}$$

Combing Theorem 1.1 and Theorem C above, we immediately obtain the following two results.

Corollary 1.1. *Let* $1 \leq p < 2$ *and let* $\{a_n; n \geq 1\}$ *be an increasing sequences of positive real numbers such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \infty \ \textit{and} \ \left\{n^{1/p}/a_n;\ n \geq 1\right\} \ \textit{is a nondecreasing sequence.} \tag{1.8}$$

Let $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ *be a real separable Banach space such that, for every symmetric sequence* $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *of i.i.d.* $\mathbf{B}$*-valued random variables, (1.2) holds. Then the Banach space* $\mathbf{B}$ *is of stable type* p*.*

Corollary 1.2. *Let* $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ *be a real separable Banach space. Let* $1 \leq p < 2$ *and let* $\{b_n; n \geq 1\}$ *be an increasing sequences of positive real numbers such that*

$$\left\{b_n/n^{1/p};\ n \geq 1\right\} \ \textit{is a nondecreasing sequence.} \tag{1.9}$$

If $\mathbf{B}$ *is of stable type* p*, then, for every sequence* $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *of i.i.d.* $\mathbf{B}$*-valued random variables, (1.3) and (1.4) are equivalent.*

From Corollary 1.1, we see that the Conclusion A is true in general.

Similarly, by Theorem 1.2 and Theorem B above, we obtain the following two results.

Corollary 1.3. *Let* $1 \leq p < 2$*. Let* $\{a_n; n \geq 1\}$ *be an increasing sequences of positive real numbers with (1.8). Let* $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ *be a real separable Banach space such that, for every symmetric sequence* $\{X, X_n; n \geq 1\}$ *of i.i.d.* $\mathbf{B}$*-valued random variables, (1.5) holds. Then the Banach space* $\mathbf{B}$ *is of Rademacher type* p*.*

Corollary 1.4. *Let* $1 \leq p < 2$ *and let* $\{b_n; n \geq 1\}$ *be an increasing sequences of positive real numbers with (1.9). If* $\mathbf{B}$ *is of Rademacher type* p*, then, for every sequence* $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *of i.i.d.* $\mathbf{B}$*-valued random variables, (1.6) and (1.7) are equivalent.*

If $\mathbf{B} = (-\infty, \infty)$, Theorems 1 and 2 of Feller (1946) follow from Corollary 1.4.

The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 will be provided in Section 4. To establish Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we invoke two tools: 1) a comparison theorem for sums of independent $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables, which is obtained in Section 2 and, 2) a symmetrization procedure for the law of large numbers for sums of independent $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables, which is provided in Section 3. These two tools are of independent interest.

2 A comparison theorem for sums of independent B-valued random variables

Throughout this section, let $\{R, R_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ be a Rademacher sequence. To establish Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we invoke the remarkable contraction principle discovered by Kahane (1968). Some further extensions have been obtained by Hoffmann-Jørgensen (1973, 1974, 1976). The main result of the contraction principle is expressed in Theorem 4.4 of Ledoux and Talagrand (1991).

The following result is the second part of Theorem 4.4 of Ledoux and Talagrand (1991).

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $\{x_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *be a* $\mathbf{B}$*-valued sequence and* $\{\alpha_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *a real-valued sequence such that* $\sup_{n \geq 1} |\alpha_n| \leq 1$*. Then we have, for every* $n \geq 1$ *and all* $t \geq 0$*,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i R_i x_i \right\| > t \right) \leq 2\mathbb{P}\left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i x_i \right\| > t \right).$$

By using Lemma 2.1 above, we establish in Theorem 2.1 a comparison theorem for sums of independent $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables.

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $\varphi(\cdot)$ *and* $\psi(\cdot)$ *be two continuous and increasing functions defined on* $[0, \infty)$ *such that* $\varphi(0) = \psi(0) = 0$ *and*

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \varphi(t) = \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{\psi(\cdot)}{\varphi(\cdot)} \textit{ is nondecreasing function on } [0, \infty). \tag{2.1}$$

Here we define $\frac{\varphi(0)}{\psi(0)} = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\varphi(t)}{\psi(t)}$*. For* $n \geq 1$*, set* $a_n = \varphi(n)$ *and* $b_n = \psi(n)$*. Then we have:*

(i) *Let* $\{x_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *be a* $\mathbf{B}$*-valued sequence such that* $\|x_n\| \leq b_n,\ n \geq 1$*. Then we have, for every* $n \geq 1$ *and all* $t \geq 0$*,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i x_i \right\| > t b_n \right) \leq 2\mathbb{P}\left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i \varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right) \frac{x_i}{\|x_i\|} \right\| > t a_n \right). \tag{2.2}$$

Here $\varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|0\|)\right) \dfrac{0}{\|0\|} \triangleq 0$ *since* $\lim_{x \to 0} \varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x\|)\right) \dfrac{x}{\|x\|} = 0$*.*

(ii) *If* $\{V_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *is a sequence of independent and symmetric* $\mathbf{B}$*-valued random variables, then we have, for every* $n \geq 1$ *and all* $t \geq 0$*,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i \right\| > t b_n \right) \leq 4\mathbb{P}\left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|V_i\|)\right) \frac{V_i}{\|V_i\|} \right\| > t a_n \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left(\|V_i\| > b_n\right). \tag{2.3}$$

Proof Clearly we have, for every $n \geq 1$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i x_i\right\| > tb_n\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{a_n}{b_n}\cdot\frac{\psi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right)}{\varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right)}\right) R_i \frac{\varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right)}{\psi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right)} x_i\right\| > ta_n\right) \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\varphi(n)}{\psi(n)}\cdot\frac{\psi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right)}{\varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right)}\right) R_i \varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right)\frac{x_i}{\|x_i\|}\right\| > ta_n\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi(\cdot)$ and $\psi(\cdot)$ are two continuous and increasing functions defined on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying $\varphi(0) = \psi(0) = 0$ and (2.1), we see that $\varphi^{-1}(\cdot)$ is also a continuous and increasing function defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\psi^{-1}(0) = 0$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \psi^{-1}(t) = \infty$, and

$$0 \leq \frac{\psi\left(\psi^{-1}(t)\right)}{\varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(t)\right)} \leq \frac{\psi(n)}{\varphi(n)} = \frac{b_n}{a_n} \quad \text{whenever} \quad 0 \leq t \leq \psi(n) = b_n.$$

Note that $\|x_n\| \leq b_n = \psi(n)$, $n \geq 1$. We thus conclude that, for every $n \geq 1$,

$$0 \leq \frac{\psi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right)}{\varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right)} \leq \frac{\psi(n)}{\varphi(n)} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, ..., n$$

and hence that, for every $n \geq 1$,

$$0 \leq \frac{\varphi(n)}{\psi(n)}\cdot\frac{\psi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right)}{\varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right)} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, ..., n.$$

By applying Lemma 2.1 we thus have, for every $n \geq 1$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\varphi(n)}{\psi(n)}\cdot\frac{\psi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right)}{\varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right)}\right) R_i \varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right)\frac{x_i}{\|x_i\|}\right\| > ta_n\right) \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i \varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|x_i\|)\right)\frac{x_i}{\|x_i\|}\right\| > ta_n\right)
\end{aligned}$$

proving Part **(i)**.

We now turn to the proof of Part **(ii)**. For every $n \geq 1$, write

$$V_{n,i} = V_i I\left\{\|V_i\| \leq b_n\right\}, \quad T_i = \varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|V_i\|)\right)\frac{V_i}{\|V_i\|}, \quad T_{n,i} = \varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|V_{n,i}\|)\right)\frac{V_{n,i}}{\|V_{n,i}\|}, \quad i = 1, ..., n.$$

Clearly we have, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i\right\| > tb_n\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{n,i}\right\| > tb_n\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left(\|V_i\| > b_n\right). \tag{2.4}$$

Note that

$$\left\{\|V_i\| \leq b_n\right\} = \left\{\psi^{-1}(\|V_i\|) \leq n\right\} = \left\{\varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|V_i\|)\right) \leq a_n\right\} = \left\{\|T_i\| \leq a_n\right\}, \quad i = 1, ..., n.$$

Thus it is easy to see that

$$T_{n,i} = T_i I\left\{\|T_i\| \leq a_n\right\}, \quad i = 1, ..., n.$$

Since $\{V_i;\ i = 1, ..., n\}$ is a sequence of independent and symmetric $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables, $\{V_{n,i};\ i = 1, ..., n\}$, $\{T_i;\ i = 1, ..., n\}$, and $\{T_{n,i};\ i = 1, ..., n\}$ are sequences of independent and symmetric $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables. Let $\{R, R_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ be a Rademacher sequence independent of $\{V_n;\ n \geq 1\}$. Then $\{R_i V_{n,i};\ i = 1, ..., n\}$ has the same distribution as $\{V_{n,i};\ i = 1, ..., n\}$ in $\mathbf{B}^n$ and $\{R_i T_{n,i};\ i = 1, ..., n\}$ has the same distribution as $\{T_{n,i};\ i = 1, ..., n\}$ in $\mathbf{B}^n$. Since $\|V_{n,i}\| \leq b_n,\ i = 1, ..., n$, by applying (2.2), we have, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{n,i}\right\| > tb_n\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i V_{n,i}\right\| > tb_n\right) \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i V_{n,i}\right\| > tb_n \middle| V_1, ..., V_n\right)\right) \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i T_{n,i}\right\| > ta_n \middle| V_1, ..., V_n\right)\right) \qquad (2.5) \\
&= 2\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i T_{n,i}\right\| > ta_n\right) \\
&= 2\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{n,i}\right\| > ta_n\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{T_i;\ i = 1, ..., n\}$ is a sequence of independent and symmetric $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables, it follows that $\{T_i I\{\|T_i\| \leq a_n\} - T_i I\{\|T_i\| > a_n\};\ i = 1, ..., n\}$ has the same distribution as $\{T_i;\ i = 1, ..., n\}$ in $\mathbf{B}^n$. Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{n,i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(T_i I\{\|T_i\| \leq a_n\} - T_i I\{\|T_i\| > a_n\}\right)}{2}.$$

We thus have, for every $n \geq 1$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{n,i}\right\| > ta_n\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i\right\| > ta_n\right) \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(T_i I\{\|T_i\| \leq a_n\} - T_i I\{\|T_i\| > a_n\}\right)\right\| > ta_n\right) \qquad (2.6) \\
&= 2\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i\right\| > ta_n\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can see that (2.3) follows from (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6). □

3 A symmetrization procedure for the law of large numbers

Symmetrization procedure is one of the most basic and powerful tools in probability theory, particularly in the study of the limit theorems for sums of random variables; see, for example, Lemma 7.1

of Ledoux and Talagrand (1991) and Li (1988). In this section a symmetrization procedure for the LLN for sums of independent **B**-valued random variables is established in the following Theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $\{Y_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *be a sequence of independent and* **B***-valued random variables. Let* $\{Y'_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *be an independent copy of* $\{Y_n;\ n \geq 1\}$. *Write* $\hat{Y}_n = Y_n - Y'_n,\ n \geq 1$. *Let* $\{a_n; n \geq 1\}$ *be increasing sequences of positive real numbers such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\|Y_n\| > \frac{a_n}{2}\right) < \frac{1}{2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then we have the following two statements.

(i) *Symmetrization procedure for WLLN:*

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \leq a_n\}\right)}{a_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{3.2}$$

if and only if

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Y}_i}{a_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0. \tag{3.3}$$

(ii) *Symmetrization procedure for SLLN:*

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \leq a_n\}\right)}{a_n} \to 0 \quad a.s. \tag{3.4}$$

if and only if

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Y}_i}{a_n} \to 0 \quad a.s. \tag{3.5}$$

Theorem 3.1 tells us that for studying the LLN for $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i/a_n,\ n \geq 1$, it is enough to study the LLN for $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Y}_i/a_n,\ n \geq 1$, reducing ourselves to symmetric random variables. To our knowledge, Theorem 3.1 (especially Theorem 3.1 (i)) is new. The following Corollaries 3.1 and 3.2 are two consequences of theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, we have*

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{a_n} \to 0 \quad a.s. \text{ (resp. in probability)}$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \leq a_n\}\right)}{a_n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Y}_i}{a_n} \to 0 \quad a.s. \text{ (resp. in probability).}$$

Corollary 3.2. *Let* $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *be a sequence of i.i.d.* **B***-valued random variables. Let* $\{X'_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *be an independent copy of* $\{X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$. *Write* $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, $S'_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X'_i$, $n \geq 1$. *Let* $\{a_n; n \geq 1\}$ *be increasing sequences of positive real numbers such that* $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \infty$. *Then we have*

$$\frac{S_n - n\mathbb{E}\left(XI\{\|X\| \leq a_n\}\right)}{a_n} \to 0 \quad a.s. \text{ (resp. in probability)}$$

if and only if

$$\frac{S_n - S'_n}{a_n} \to 0 \quad a.s. \text{ (resp. in probability).}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1 We first establish Theorem 3.1(i). Since $\{Y'_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ is an independent copy of $\{Y_n;\ n \geq 1\}$, we see that for $n \geq 1$,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Y}_i}{a_n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \leq a_n\}\right)}{a_n} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y'_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(Y'_i I\{\|Y'_i\| \leq a_n\}\right)}{a_n}$$

so that (3.3) follows from (3.2).

We now prove that (3.3) implies (3.2). Since $\{\hat{Y}_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ is sequence of independent and symmetric **B**-valued variables, by the remarkable Lévy inequality in a Banach space setting (see, e.g., see Proposition 2.3 of Ledoux and Talagrand (1991)), we have that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|\hat{Y}_i\|}{a_n} > t\right) \leq 2\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Y}_i\right\|}{a_n} > t\right) \quad \forall\ t \geq 0. \tag{3.6}$$

It thus follows from (3.3) and (3.6) that

$$\frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|\hat{Y}_i\|}{a_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Also, by independence, (3.7) implies that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|\hat{Y}_i\|}{a_n} > t\right) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\|\hat{Y}_i\|}{a_n} > t\right)\right) \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty \ \ \forall\ t \geq 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\|\hat{Y}_i\|}{a_n} > t\right) \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty \ \ \forall\ t > 0. \tag{3.8}$$

It easily follows from (3.1) that there exists $n_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{P}\left(\|Y_i\| > \frac{a_n}{2}\right) < \frac{1}{2} \ \ \forall\ n \geq n_0.$$

Note that $\{\|Y_i\| \leq a_n/2, \|Y'_i\| > a_n\} \subseteq \{\|Y_i - Y'_i\| > a_n/2\} = \left\{\|\hat{Y}_i\| > a_n/2\right\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. We thus have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}\left(\|Y_i\| > a_n\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}\left(\|Y'_i\| > a_n\right) \leq 2\mathbb{P}\left(\|\hat{Y}_i\| > a_n/2\right) \ \ \forall\ n \geq n_0$$

and hence by (3.8), it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}\left(\|Y_i\| > a_n\right) \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty. \tag{3.9}$$

Write

$$Y_{n,i} = Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \leq a_n\},\ Y'_{n,i} = Y'_i I\{\|Y'_i\| \leq a_n\},\ \hat{Y}_{n,i} = Y_{n,i} - Y'_{n,i}, \ \ i = 1, ..., n,\ n \geq 1.$$

It is easy to see that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Y}_{n,i} - \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Y}_i\right\|}{a_n} \neq 0\right) \leq 2\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}\left(\|Y_i\| > a_n\right) \to 0 \ \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

and hence by (3.3), we conclude that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{Y}_{n,i}}{a_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Again by the remarkable Lévy inequality in a Banach space setting, (3.10) ensures that

$$\frac{\max_{1 \le i \le n} \|\hat{Y}_{n,i}\|}{a_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Note that

$$\frac{\max_{1 \le i \le n} \|\hat{Y}_{n,i}\|}{a_n} \le 2 \ \ \forall \ n \ge 1.$$

It thus follows from (3.11) and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\max_{1 \le i \le n} \|\hat{Y}_{n,i}\|}{a_n}\right) = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

By Proposition 6.8 of Ledoux and Talagrand (1991, p. 156), we get that for every $n \ge 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{Y}_{n,i}\right\|}{a_n}\right) \le 6\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\max_{1 \le i \le n} \|\hat{Y}_{n,i}\|}{a_n}\right) + 6t_n,$$

where

$$t_n = \inf\left\{t > 0; \ \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{Y}_{n,i}\right\|}{a_n} > t\right) \le \frac{1}{24}\right\}.$$

It is easy to see that (3.10) implies that $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = 0$. It thus follows from (3.12) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{Y}_{n,i}\right\|}{a_n}\right) = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Since, for every $n \ge 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{n,i}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y'_{n,i}$ are i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables, it follows from (2.5) of Ledoux and Talagrand (1991, p. 46) that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{n,i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \le a_n\}\right)\right\|}{a_n}\right) \le \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{Y}_{n,i}\right\|}{a_n}\right).$$

By (3.13), we get that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{n,i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \le a_n\}\right)\right\|}{a_n}\right) = 0$$

and hence that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{n,i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \le a_n\}\right)}{a_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Note that, for every $n \geq 1$,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \leq a_n\}\right)}{a_n}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{n,i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \leq a_n\}\right)}{a_n} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \leq a_n\}}{a_n}.$$

Thus (3.2) follows from (3.14) and (3.9).

We now establish Theorem 3.1(ii). Clearly, we only need to show that (3.4) follows from (3.5). Since (3.5) implies (3.3), we see that (3.2) holds. Note that $\{Y_n';\ n \geq 1\}$ is an independent copy of $\{Y_n;\ n \geq 1\}$. It thus follows from (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \leq a_n\}\right)}{a_n} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i' - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i' I\{\|Y_i'\| \leq a_n\}\right)}{a_n} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{Y}_i}{a_n} \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

By applying either Theorem 3 (3.3) of Li (1988) or Lemma 7.1 of Ledoux and Talagrand (1991, p. 179), (3.4) follows from (3.15) and (3.2). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Proof of Corollary 3.1 Note that, for every $n \geq 1$,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{Y}_i}{a_n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i}{a_n} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i'}{a_n},$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \leq a_n\}\right)}{a_n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i}{a_n} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \leq a_n\}\right)}{a_n},$$

and

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i}{a_n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \leq a_n\}\right)}{a_n} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i I\{\|Y_i\| \leq a_n\}\right)}{a_n}.$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.1, Corollary 3.1 follows immediately. □

Proof of Corollary 3.2 Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \infty$ and $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|X_n\| > \frac{a_n}{2}\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\|X\| > \frac{a_n}{2}\right) \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty$$

and hence that (3.1) holds for $Y_n = X_n$, $n \geq 1$. Thus Corollary 3.2 follows from Theorem 3.1 immediately. □

4 Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Throughout this section, $\{a_n; n \geq 1\}$ and $\{b_n; n \geq 1\}$ are increasing sequences of positive real numbers with (1.1). Write

$$I(1) = \{i;\ b_i \leq 2\} \ \text{ and } \ I(m) = \left\{i;\ 2^{m-1} < b_i \leq 2^m\right\}, \ \ m \geq 2.$$

It follows from (1.1) that $0 < b_n \uparrow \infty$ and

$$\{1, 2, 3, ..., n, ...\} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} I(m).$$

Note that $I(m),\ m \geq 1$ are mutually exclusive sets. Thus there exist positive integers $k_n, m_n,\ n \geq 1$ such that

$$k_1 < k_2 < ... < k_n < ..., \quad m_1 < m_2 < ... < m_n < ...,$$

$$\{1, 2, 3, ..., n, ...\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I\left(m_n\right), \quad \text{and} \quad I\left(m_1\right) = \{1, ..., k_1\}, \ I\left(m_n\right) = \{k_{n-1} + 1, ..., k_n\}, \ n \geq 2.$$

To prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we use the following two preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Then there exist two continuous and increasing functions* $\varphi(\cdot)$ *and* $\psi(\cdot)$ *defined on* $[0, \infty)$ *such that (2.1) holds and*

$$\varphi(0) = \psi(0) = 0, \ \varphi(n) = a_n, \ \psi(n) = b_n, \ n \geq 1. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof Let $a_0 = b_0 = 0$. Let

$$\varphi(t) = a_{n-1} + \left(a_n - a_{n-1}\right)(t - n + 1), \ n - 1 \leq t < n, \ n \geq 1$$

and

$$\psi(t) = b_{n-1} + \left(b_n - b_{n-1}\right)(t - n + 1), \ n - 1 \leq t < n, \ n \geq 1.$$

Clearly, $\varphi(\cdot)$ and $\psi(\cdot)$ are two continuous and increasing functions defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that (4.1) holds. We now verify that (2.1) holds with the chosen $\varphi(\cdot)$ and $\psi(\cdot)$. Note that (1.1) implies that, for $n - 1 < t < n$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\psi(t)}{\varphi(t)}\right)' &= \frac{\psi'(t)\varphi(t) - \psi(t)\varphi'(t)}{\varphi^2(t)} \\ &= \frac{a_{n-1}b_n - b_{n-1}a_n}{\varphi^2(t)} \\ &= \frac{a_{n-1}a_n\left(\frac{b_n}{a_n} - \frac{b_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}}\right)}{\varphi^2(t)} \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_0/a_0 \triangleq b_1/a_1$. Thus (2.1) follows. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $\{V_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ *be a sequence of independent and symmetric* $\mathbf{B}$*-valued random variables. Set* $k_0 = 0$*. We have the following two statements.*

(i) *If*

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i}{a_n} \to 0 \quad a.s., \tag{4.2}$$

then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} V_i\right\| > \epsilon a_{k_n}\right) < \infty \quad \forall\ \epsilon > 0. \tag{4.3}$$

(ii)

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i}{b_n} \to 0 \quad a.s. \tag{4.4}$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\| \sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} V_i \right\| > \epsilon b_{k_n} \right) < \infty \quad \forall\, \epsilon > 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof We first prove Part (i). Clearly, (4.2) implies that

$$\frac{\left\|\sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} V_i\right\|}{a_{k_n}} \leq \frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} V_i\right\|}{a_{k_n}} + \left(\frac{a_{k_{n-1}}}{a_{k_n}}\right) \frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n-1}} V_i\right\|}{a_{k_{n-1}}} \to 0 \;\text{ a.s. as }\; n \to \infty. \tag{4.6}$$

Since $\sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} V_i,\ n \geq 1$ are independent $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables, (4.3) follows from (4.6) and the Borel-Cantelli lemma.

We now establish Part (ii). From the proof of Part (i), we only need to show that (4.4) follows from (4.5). Since $\{V_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of independent and symmetric $\mathbf{B}$-valued random variables, by the remarable Lévy inequality in a Banach space setting (see, e.g., see Proposition 2.3 of Ledoux and Talagrand (1991)), we have that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{k_{n-1}<k\leq k_n} \left\| \sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k} V_i \right\| > \epsilon b_{k_n} \right) \leq 2\mathbb{P}\left(\left\| \sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} V_i \right\| > \epsilon b_{k_n} \right) \quad \forall\, \epsilon \geq 0.$$

Thus it follows from (4.5) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{k_{n-1}<k\leq k_n} \left\| \sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k} V_i \right\| > \epsilon b_{k_n} \right) < \infty \quad \forall\, \epsilon \geq 0$$

which ensures that

$$A_n \triangleq \frac{\max_{k_{n-1}<k\leq k_n} \left\|\sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k} V_i\right\|}{b_{k_n}} \to 0 \;\text{ a.s.} \tag{4.7}$$

Now by the Toeplitz lemma, we conclude from (4.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{k_{n-1}<k\leq k_n} \frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k} V_i\right\|}{b_k} &\leq 2 \max_{k_{n-1}<k\leq k_n} \frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k} V_i\right\|}{b_{k_n}} \\
&\leq 2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\left\|\sum_{i=k_{j-1}+1}^{k_j} V_i\right\|}{b_{k_n}} + A_n \right) \\
&\leq 2\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{b_{k_j}}{b_{k_n}}\right) A_j \\
&\leq 4\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{2^{m_j}}{2^{m_n}}\right) A_j \\
&\to 0 \;\text{ a.s. as } n \to \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., (4.4) holds. □

With the preliminaries accounted for, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 may be proved.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 To establish the conclusion of Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show that, for every sequence $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ of i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables, the following three statements are equivalent:

$$\frac{S_n - \gamma_n}{b_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\|S_n - \gamma_n\|}{b_n} > \lambda\right) = 0 \ \text{ for some constant } \lambda \in (0, \infty), \tag{4.9}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\mathbb{P}(\|X\| > b_n) = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

Here and below $\gamma_n = n\mathbb{E}\left(XI\{\|X\| \leq b_n\}\right)$, $n \geq 1$.

Since (4.9) obviously follows from (4.8), it suffices to establish the implications "(4.8) $\Rightarrow$ (4.10)", "(4.10) $\Rightarrow$ (4.8)", and "(4.9) $\Rightarrow$ (4.8)".

Since $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables, arguing as in the proof of implication "(3.2) $\Rightarrow$ (3.9)", we see that (4.8) implies (4.10).

We now show that (4.10) implies (4.8). To see this, let $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables with (4.10). Set

$$\tilde{X} = \frac{X - X'}{2}, \quad \tilde{X}_n = \frac{X_n - X'_n}{2}, \quad n \geq 1$$

where $\{X', X'_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ is an independent copy of $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$. Clearly,

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\tilde{X}\| > t) \leq \mathbb{P}(\|X\| > t) + \mathbb{P}(\|X'\| > t) = 2\mathbb{P}(\|X\| > t) \ \ \forall\ t > 0.$$

Thus $\{\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. symmetric **B**-valued random variables such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\mathbb{P}\left(\|\tilde{X}\| > b_n\right) = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

Since $\{a_n; n \geq 1\}$ and $\{b_n; n \geq 1\}$ are increasing sequences of positive real numbers with (1.1), by Lemma 4.1, there exist two continuous and increasing functions $\varphi(\cdot)$ and $\psi(\cdot)$ defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that both (2.1) and (4.1) hold. Write

$$Y = \varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|\tilde{X}\|)\right)\frac{\tilde{X}}{\|\tilde{X}\|}, \ Y_n = \varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|\tilde{X}_n\|)\right)\frac{\tilde{X}_n}{\|\tilde{X}_n\|}, \ n \geq 1.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|Y\| > a_n\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|\tilde{X}\|)\right)\frac{\tilde{X}}{\|\tilde{X}\|}\right\| > \varphi(n)\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\|\tilde{X}\| > b_n\right), \ n \geq 1.$$

It thus follows from (4.11) that $\{Y, Y_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. symmetric **B**-valued random variables such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\mathbb{P}\left(\|Y\| > a_n\right) = 0$$

and hence that, by (1.2),

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{a_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0. \tag{4.12}$$

By Theorem 2.1 (ii) together with (4.8) and (4.12), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\tilde{X}_i\right\| > \epsilon b_n\right) &\leq 4\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|\tilde{X}_i\|)\right)\frac{\tilde{X}_i}{\|\tilde{X}_i\|}\right\| > \epsilon a_n\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{P}\left(\|\tilde{X}_i\| > b_n\right)\\ &= 4\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}Y_i\right\| > \epsilon a_n\right) + n\mathbb{P}\left(\|\tilde{X}\| > b_n\right)\\ &\to 0 \text{ as } n\to\infty \;\; \forall\, \epsilon > 0\end{aligned}$$

and hence that

$$\frac{S_n - S_n'}{2b_n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\tilde{X}_i}{b_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0,$$

where $S_n' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i'$, $n \geq 1$. We thus conclude that

$$\frac{S_n - S_n'}{b_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0.$$

By Corollary 3.2, (4.8) follows.

It remains to show that (4.9) implies (4.8). Let $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables with (4.9). By the remarable Lévy inequality in a Banach space setting, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\max_{1\leq i\leq n}\|X_i - X_i'\|}{b_n} > 2\lambda\right) \leq 2\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\|S_n - S_n'\|}{b_n} > 2\lambda\right) \leq 4\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\|S_n\|}{b_n} > \lambda\right) \quad \forall\, n \geq 1.$$

Then it follows from (4.9) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\|X - X'\|}{b_n} > 2\lambda\right) = 0, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} n\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\frac{X - X'}{2\lambda}\right| > b_n\right) = 0.$$

That is, (4.10) holds with X replaced by symmetric random variable $(X - X')/(2\lambda)$. Since (4.8) and (4.10) are equivalent, we conclude that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{X_i - X_i'}{2\lambda}}{b_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda}\right)\frac{S_n - S_n'}{b_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0.$$

Thus

$$\frac{S_n - S_n'}{b_n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0$$

which, by Corollary 3.2, implies (4.8). □

Proof of Theorem 1.2 To establish this theorem, it suffices to show that, for every sequence $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ of i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables, the following three statements are equivalent:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{S_n - \gamma_n}{b_n} \to 0 \;\text{ a.s.,} \tag{4.13}$$

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{\|S_n - \gamma_n\|}{b_n} < \infty \;\text{ a.s.,} \tag{4.14}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\|X\| > b_n) < \infty. \tag{4.15}$$

The three statements (4.13)-(4.15) are equivalent if we can show that (4.13) and (4.15) are equivalent and (4.13) and (4.15) are equivalent.

For establishing the implication "(4.13) $\Rightarrow$ (4.15)", let $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables with (4.15). It follows from (4.15) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - X_i')}{b_n} = 0 \ \text{ a.s.}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{X_n - X_n'}{b_n} \to 0 \ \text{ a.s.} \tag{4.16}$$

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, (4.16) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\|X_n - X_n'\| > \epsilon b_n\right) < \infty \ \ \forall\ \epsilon > 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\|X - X'\| > \epsilon b_n\right) < \infty \ \ \forall\ \epsilon > 0. \tag{4.17}$$

Note that $\{\|X'\| \leq b_n/2, \|X\| > b_n\} \subseteq \{\|X - X'\| > b_n/2\}$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\|X'\| \leq b_n/2\right) = 1.$$

We thus have that, for all large n,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|X\| > b_n\right) \leq 2\mathbb{P}\left\{\|X - X'\| > b_n/2\right\}$$

which, together with (4.17), implies (4.15).

We now prove "(4.15) $\Rightarrow$ (4.13)". Let $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables with (4.15). Since $\{a_n; n \geq 1\}$ and $\{b_n; n \geq 1\}$ are increasing sequences of positive real numbers with (1.1), by Lemma 4.1, there exist two continuous and increasing functions $\varphi(\cdot)$ and $\psi(\cdot)$ defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that both (2.1) and (4.1) hold. Write

$$\tilde{X} = \frac{X - X'}{2},\ \tilde{X}_n = \frac{X - X'}{2},\ n \geq 1$$

and

$$Y = \varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|\tilde{X}\|)\right) \frac{\tilde{X}}{\|\tilde{X}\|},\ Y_n = \varphi\left(\psi^{-1}(\|\tilde{X}_n\|)\right) \frac{\tilde{X}_n}{\|\tilde{X}_n\|},\ n \geq 1.$$

Then $\{\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. symmetric **B**-valued random variables such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\|\tilde{X}\| > b_n\right) \leq 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\|X\| > b_n\right) < \infty \tag{4.18}$$

and $\{Y, Y_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of of i.i.d. symmetric **B**-valued random variables such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\|Y\| > a_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\|\tilde{X}\| > b_n\right) < \infty. \tag{4.19}$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.2 (i), we conclude from (4.19) and (1.5) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\| \sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} Y_i \right\| > \epsilon a_{k_n} \right) < \infty \ \ \forall \ \epsilon > 0. \tag{4.20}$$

By Theorem 2.1 (ii), we have that, for every $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\| \sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} \tilde{X}_i \right\| > \epsilon b_{k_n} \right) &\leq 4\mathbb{P}\left(\left\| \sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} Y_i \right\| > \epsilon a_n \right) + \sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} \mathbb{P}\left(\|\tilde{X}_i\| > b_{k_n} \right) \\ &\leq 4\mathbb{P}\left(\left\| \sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} Y_i \right\| > \epsilon a_n \right) + \sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} \mathbb{P}\left(\|\tilde{X}\| > b_i \right) \ \ \forall \ \epsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

It thus from (4.18) and (4.20) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\| \sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} \tilde{X}_i \right\| > \epsilon b_{k_n} \right) \\ &\leq 4\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\| \sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} Y_i \right\| > \epsilon a_n \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} \mathbb{P}\left(\|\tilde{X}_i\| > b_i \right) \\ &= 4\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\| \sum_{i=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} Y_i \right\| > \epsilon a_n \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\|\tilde{X}_i\| > b_n \right) \\ &< \infty \ \ \forall \ \epsilon > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

By Lemma 4.2 (ii), (4.21) is equivalent to

$$\frac{S_n - S_n'}{2b_n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{X}_i}{b_n} \to 0 \ \ \text{a.s.}$$

Hence

$$\frac{S_n - S_n'}{b_n} \to 0 \ \ \text{a.s.}$$

By Corollary 3.2, (4.13) follows.

The implication "(4.13) ⇒ (4.14)" is obvious.

We now establish the implication "(4.14) ⇒ (4.13)". Let $\{X, X_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. **B**-valued random variables with (1.8). It follows from (4.14) that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - X_i')\|}{b_n} < \infty \ \ \text{a.s.}$$

which implies that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\|X_n - X_n'\|}{b_n} < \infty \ \ \text{a.s.} \tag{4.22}$$

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, (4.22) is equivalent to, for some constant $0 < \lambda < \infty$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\|X_n - X'_n\| > \lambda b_n\right) < \infty, \text{ i.e., } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\frac{X - X'}{\lambda}\right\| > b_n\right) < \infty.$$

That is, (4.15) holds with X replaced by symmetric random variable $(X - X')/\lambda$. Since (4.13) and (4.15) are equivalent, we conclude that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \frac{S_n - S'_n}{b_n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_i - X'_i}{\lambda}}{b_n} \to 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

Thus

$$\frac{S_n - S'_n}{b_n} \to 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

which, by Corollary 3.2, implies (4.13). The proof of Theorem 1.2 is therefore complete. □

Acknowledgments

The research of Deli Li was partially supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the research of Han-Ying Liang was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant #: 11271286).

References

1. Azlarov, T. A., Volodin, N. A.: Laws of large numbers for identically distributed Banach-space valued random variables. Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. **26**, 584-590 (1981), in Russian. English translation in Theory Probab. Appl. **26**, 573-580 (1981).

2. de Acosta, A.: Inequalities for B-valued random vectors with applications to the law of large numbers. Ann. Probab. **9**, 157-161 (1981).

3. Feller, W.: A Limit Theoerm for Random Variables with Infinite moments. Amer. J. Math. **68**, 257-262 (1946).

4. Hoffmann-Jørgensen, J.: Sums of independent Banach space valued random variables. Aarhus Univ. Preprint Series 1972/73, no. 15 (1973).

5. Hoffmann-Jørgensen, J.: Sums of independent Banach space valued random variables. Studia Math. **52**, 159-186 (1974).

6. Hoffmann-Jørgensen, J.: Probability in Banach spaces. Ecole d'Eté de Probabilités de St-Flour 1976. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 598. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg 1976, pp. 1-186.

7. Kahane, J.-P.: Some random series of functions. Heath Math. Monographs, 1968. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1985, 2nd ed.

8. Ledoux, M., Talagrand, M.: Probability in Banach Spaces: Isoperimetry and Processes. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1991).

9. Li, D. L.: A remark on the symmetrization principle for sequences of B-valued random elements. Acta Sci. Natur. Univ. Jilin. **1988**, 17-20 (1988), in Chinese.

10. Maurey, B., Pisier, G.: Séries de variables aléatoires vectorielles indépendantes et propriétés géométriques des espaces de Banach. Studia Math. **58**, 45-90 (1976).

11. Marcus, M. B., Woyczyński, W. A.: Stable measures and central limit theorems in spaces of stable type. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **251**, 71-102 (1979).

12. Mourier, E.: Eléments aléatoires dans un espace de Banach. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré **13**, 161-244 (1953).

13. Petrov, V. V.: Limit Theorems of Probability Theory: Sequences of Independent Random Variables. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1995).

14. Pisier, G.: Probabilistic methods in the geometry of Banach spaces, in Probability and Analysis, Lectures given at the 1st 1985 Session of the Centro Internazionale Matematico Estivo (C.I.M.E.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **1206**, 167-241, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1986).

15. Rosiński, J.: Remarks on Banach spaces of stable type. Probab. Math. Statist. **1**, 67-71 (1980).

16. Woyczyński, W. A.: Geometry and martingales in Banach spaces-Part II: Independent increments, in Probability on Banach Spaces (Edited by J. Kuelbs), Advances in Probability and Related Topics Vol. **4** (Edited by P. Ney), 267-517, Marcel Dekker, New York (1978).